

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JEFFREY LAYDON, on behalf of himself and all others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

THE BANK OF TOKYO-MITSUBISHI UFJ, LTD., THE  
SUMITOMO TRUST AND BANKING CO., LTD., THE  
NORINCHUKIN BANK, MITSUBISHI UFJ TRUST AND  
BANKING CORPORATION, SUMITOMO MITSUI BANKING  
CORPORATION, J.P. MORGAN CHASE & CO., J.P. MORGAN  
CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, J.P. MORGAN  
SECURITIES PLC, MIZUHO CORPORATE BANK, LTD.,  
DEUTSCHE BANK AG, THE SHOKO CHUKIN BANK, LTD.,  
SHINKIN CENTRAL BANK, UBS AG, UBS SECURITIES JAPAN  
CO. LTD., THE BANK OF YOKOHAMA, LTD., SOCIÉTÉ  
GÉNÉRALE SA, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND GROUP  
PLC, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND PLC, RBS SECURITIES  
JAPAN LIMITED, BARCLAYS BANK PLC, CITIBANK, NA,  
CITIGROUP, INC., CITIBANK, JAPAN LTD., CITIGROUP  
GLOBAL MARKETS JAPAN, INC., COÖPERATIEVE  
CENTRALE RAIFFEISEN-BOERENLEENBANK B.A., HSBC  
HOLDINGS PLC, HSBC BANK PLC, LLOYDS BANKING  
GROUP PLC, ICAP EUROPE LIMITED, R.P. MARTIN  
HOLDINGS LIMITED, MARTIN BROKERS (UK) LTD.,  
TULLETT PREBON PLC, AND JOHN DOE NOS. 1-50,

Defendants.

Docket No. 12-cv-3419  
(GBD) (HBP)

~~PROPOSED~~ FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE  
OF DEUTSCHE BANK AG AND DB GROUP SERVICES (UK) LTD., JPMORGAN  
CHASE & CO., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AND J.P.  
MORGAN SECURITIES PLC

This matter came for a duly-noticed hearing on December 7, 2017 (the “Fairness Hearing”), upon the Plaintiffs’<sup>1</sup> Motion for Final Approval of Settlements with Deutsche Bank AG and DB Group Services (UK) Ltd. (collectively, “Deutsche Bank”) and JPMorgan Chase & Co., JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, and J.P. Morgan Securities plc (collectively, “JPMorgan,” and together with Deutsche Bank, the “Settling Defendants”) in the related actions captioned *Laydon v. Mizuho Bank, Ltd., et al.*, No. 12-cv-3419 (GBD) (S.D.N.Y.) (“*Laydon*”) and *Sonterra Capital Master Fund Ltd., et al. v. UBS AG, et al.*, No. 15-cv-5844 (GBD) (S.D.N.Y.) (“*Sonterra*”) (collectively, the “Actions”), which was joined and consented to by the Settling Defendants (together with Plaintiffs, the “Parties”). The Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:**

1. This Final Judgment hereby incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement with Deutsche Bank entered into on July 21, 2017 (the “Deutsche Bank Settlement Agreement”) and the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement with JPMorgan entered into on July 21, 2017 (the “JPMorgan Settlement Agreement,” and together with the Deutsche Bank Settlement Agreement, the “Settlement Agreements”), and all terms used herein, except as otherwise expressly defined herein, shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreements. For purposes of this Final Judgment, the “Released Parties” shall mean all Persons that are Released Parties under either of the Settlement Agreements.

2. The Court finds that it has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 to enter this Final Judgment and that it has personal jurisdiction over the Parties and all members of the Settlement Class. To the extent that one or both of the Actions has been dismissed, the Court

---

<sup>1</sup> The Plaintiffs are Jeffrey Laydon, Sonterra Capital Master Fund, Ltd., Hayman Capital Master Fund, L.P., Japan Macro Opportunities Master Fund, L.P., and the California State Teachers’ Retirement System (“CalSTRS”).

has retained subject matter jurisdiction to enter this Final Judgment in both of the Actions. *See Ehrheart v. Verizon Wireless*, 609 F.3d 590 (3d Cir. 2010).

3. The Actions, including each claim in the Actions, are hereby dismissed with prejudice on the merits as to Deutsche Bank and JPMorgan and without fees or costs.

4. Upon the Settlements becoming final in accordance with their terms, all of the following claims shall be released. Specifically:

(A) The Releasing Parties finally and forever release and discharge from and covenant not to sue the Released Parties for any and all manner of claims, including unknown claims, causes of action, cross-claims, counter-claims, charges, liabilities, demands, judgments, suits, obligations, debts, setoffs, rights of recovery, or liabilities for any obligations of any kind whatsoever (however denominated), whether class, derivative, or individual, in law or equity or arising under constitution, statute, regulation, ordinance, contract, or otherwise in nature, for fees, costs, penalties, fines, debts, expenses, attorneys' fees, and damages, whenever incurred, and liabilities of any nature whatsoever (including joint and several), known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, asserted or unasserted, which Settling Class Members or any of them ever had, now has, or hereafter can, shall, or may have, representatively, derivatively, or in any other capacity, against the Released Parties arising from or relating in any way to conduct alleged in the Actions or which could have been alleged in the Actions against the Released Parties concerning any Euroyen-Based Derivatives or any similar financial instruments priced, benchmarked, or settled to Yen LIBOR or Euroyen TIBOR purchased, sold, and/or held by the Representative Plaintiffs, Class Members, and/or Settling Class Members (to the extent such similar financial instruments were entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.), including, but not limited to, any alleged manipulation of Euroyen TIBOR and/or Yen LIBOR under the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, or any other statute, regulation, or common law, or any purported conspiracy, collusion, racketeering activity, or other improper conduct relating to Euroyen TIBOR and/or Yen LIBOR (including, but not limited to, all claims under Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968, and any other federal or state statute, regulation, or common law). The following claims shall not be released by these Settlements: (i) any claims against former Deutsche Bank or JPMorgan employees arising solely from those former employees' conduct that occurred while not employed by Deutsche Bank or JPMorgan; (ii) any claims against the named Defendants in these Actions other than Deutsche Bank or JPMorgan; (iii) any claims against inter-dealer brokers or their employees or agents when and solely to the extent they were engaged as employees or agents of the other Defendants or of inter-dealer brokers; or (iv) any claims against any Defendant who may be subsequently added in these Actions, other than any Released Party. For the avoidance of doubt, Released Claims does not include claims arising under foreign law based solely on transactions executed entirely outside the United States by Settling Class Members domiciled outside the United States.

(B) Although the foregoing release is not a general release, such release constitutes a waiver of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code (to the extent it applies to the Actions), which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.


This release also constitutes a waiver of any and all provisions, rights, and benefits of any federal, state or foreign law, rule, regulation, or principle of law or equity that is similar, comparable, equivalent to, or which has the effect of, Section 1542 of the California Civil Code. The Settling Class Members acknowledge that they are aware that they may hereafter discover facts in addition to, or different from, those facts which they know or believe to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Settlement Agreements, but that it is their intention to release fully, finally, and forever all of the Released Claims, and in furtherance of such intention, the release shall be irrevocable and remain in effect notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different facts. In entering and making the Deutsche Bank Settlement Agreement and the JPMorgan Settlement Agreement, the Parties assume the risk of any mistake of fact or law, and the release shall be irrevocable and remain in effect notwithstanding any mistake of fact or law.

5. The Court, finding no just reason for delay, directs pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure that the judgment of dismissal as to Deutsche Bank and JPMorgan shall be final and entered forthwith.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Signed this 7th day of December, 2017.

DEC 07 2017

  
Honorable George B. Daniels  
United States District Judge